UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Vs.

: CR. 82-269-01-N

EDWARD JOHN HASBROUCK

Defendant

BEFORE: HON. DAVID S. NELSON,

District Judge

Federal Courthouse Boston, Massachusetts January 14, 1983

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, ESQ.

FOR THE DEPENDANT:

EDWARD JOHN HASBROUCK, Pro Se,

and BENJAMIN HILLER, ESQ.

COURT REPORTER:

MURIEL F. JAMES 204 USPO & Courthouse Boston, MA. 02109

(617) 542-6847



1	January 14, 1983,
2	
3	THE CLERK: CR 82- 00269-N, United States of America
4	versus Edward Hasbrouck.
5	Would counsel identify themselves for the record,
6	please?
7	MR. MUELLER: Robert Mueller for the United
8	States. Good morning, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	MR. HASBROUCK: Edward Hasbrouck, good morning.
11	MR. HILLER: Good morning.
12	THE COURT: There is a matter that is not in front
13	of me. But I would like to make a determination about that
14	now.
15	I don't know if the Government was served or
16	proffered a motion, and it's a motion entitled, "For An Act
17	of Judgment and Dismissal." And an application for leave to
18	appear as amicus.
19	MR. MUELLER: Yes, your Honor, we were. And I
20	believe I filed a brief response.
21	THE COURT: To be honest with you, I didn't see the
22	response. But who represents who, Virginia Lee?
23	MS. LEE: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I'll hear you briefly on one issue, and
	i de la companya de

the only issue is your standing as it were, the eligibility

3 1 of and any authority to support your ability to file this 2 motion. MS. LEE: Your Honor, I don't think that we have an 3 argument on the standing issue. I presented you with a 4 brief, if you care to read it and take notice of it. It's 5 really up to you. 6 THE COURT: Well, I have looked at it, and I'm 7 guided only by the Federal Rules in terms of who may make 8 such a motion, and I don't conclude that you are included in 9 that group. Therefore, the motion for arrest of judgment and 10 dismissal are denied, as improperly offered. 11 MS. LEE: Thank you, your Honor. 12 With regard to the substantive issues in our 13 memorandum then, you are not going to reconsider them at 14 15 all? Is that your ruling? 16 17

THE COURT: I don't know how I can. As I look at it, there is nothing in front of me; and I would certainly require and have to give right to the Government to oppose it.

If it comes to me in the form of a sentencing memorandum, I would be glad to give it regard.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: One other matter, which is more in the nature of housekeeping, and that is the somewhat unusual nature of this case has me in possession of a lot of

' 3

letters. And what is usual is that they will go to the Probation Department to be filed with the Probation Department and made available as part of the probation record for counsel and the defendant.

Most of them, at least the ones that came early, I did send to the Probation Department, and some I took back because I still hadn't read them. And since then maybe a hundred more came in, and I just haven't had an opportunity to refer them to the Probation Department. And today, the rest of the mail that's come in today, I haven't had a chance to open them up, so if you don't have access to these, you can have access to them. And if you need to have access to them, you can have access to them. They are all here.

And to the extent that -- with the understanding that I have limited resources, brain power, eye power, I can't read them all. So some I haven't read. But I take it that there is a general theme through most of them, most of them being in support of the defendant's sentencing.

Any problems about that?

MR. MUELLER: Your Honor, I have no problems about that.

There is one other housekeeping matter, if the Court is finished with that particular matter.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MUELLER: And that is a motion we filed to

1	correct the record of the number of grand jurors concurring.
2	And that was filed some time ago. And that is a matter of
3	housekeeping, because the foreman of the grand jury
4	erroneously put down on the form the incorrect number
5	concurring.
6	THE COURT: If I haven't acted on it, and I take
7	it there is no opposition to it, I will endorse it allowed.
8	MR. MUELLER: Thank you, your Honor.
9	MR. HASBROUCK: There is no objection.
10	THE COURT: Now, Mr. Hasbrouck, what might be a
11	question of housekeeping is, are you representing yourself or
12	are you being represented?
13	MR. HASBROUCK: I'm speaking for myself.
14	THE COURT: And I suppose, nevertheless, you are
15	looking for an opportunity to say something?
16	MR. HILLER: I think Mr. Hasbrouck is well able to
17	express himself, Judge, and I appreciate the offer, but
18	THE COURT: It's not an offer.
19	Now, first of all, Mr. Hasbrouck, have you received a
20	copy of the probation report?
21	MR. HASBROUCK: I was allowed to look at one, I
22	wasn't allowed to make a copy, to get a copy. I have one
23	here. I was told I have to return it at the end.
24	THE COURT: Having looked at it, are you satisfied

that you have had enough opportunity now to have been able to

2

absorb and to understand what's within it?

3

if I had been able to copy it so that I could make it available to other people so that they could have pointed out

MR. HASBROUCK: Well, I think it would have helped

5 to you and the Probation Office some of the errors in it. THE COURT: Well, my understanding is that the law 6

7

on the presentence report mostly made, at least it's believed

8

to have been made for the basis of protecting the

9

confidentiality of the defendant, but also the

10

confidentiality of the probation system, so this material

11 isn't available to the public in general.

12

Now, whatever is in the report, of course, you have a

13

right to express to others and what have you.

14

15

Now my interest only is whether or not you see any omissions, errors, or anything else that needs corrected or

16

amended or added to this report.

17

omissions. Most of what I have said to the probation officer

MR. HASBROUCK: There are a great number of

18

was not included in the report. At the same time that she

19 20

complained that I have not been cooperative and not told her

21

very much, it seems contrary to me.

states that I am --

22

There are a number of errors, mostly small. It

23

THE COURT: Refer to the page and that will help

24 25

me.

MR. HASBROUCK: Okay. The cover sheet of the 1 2 presentence report, the custodial status on bail, \$1,000 unsecured bond. I'm out on personal recognizance, as the 3 Court knows. 5 THE COURT: Unsecured bond with personal 6 recognizance. MR. HASBROUCK: But there's no \$1000, as far as I 7 8 know. 9 THE COURT: Well, the form is usually \$1,000 unsecured bond, and that means that you have to put up no 10 money; but if you violate the conditions of your bond, you 11 will be in debt to the Government for \$1000. 12 MR. HASBROUCK: That was not on the forms that I 13 signed, as I recall. I don't have them with me. And that's 14 15 just a small matter. I point these out --16 THE COURT: I'll note that. MR. HASBROUCK: There are a number of small 17 18 It makes me wonder -errors. THE COURT: Well, don't wonder. Just look it at 19 20 and tell me what is incorrect. MR. HASBROUCK: The prior record. The first thing 21 listed has a conviction, was not; it was continued without a 22 finding for six months and then dismissed. 23 THE COURT: What page is that, please? 24

MR. HASBROUCK: Page 1.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 And you say -- now we are talking about the last 3 entry on that page? 4 MR. HASBROUCK: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And what's the difficulty with it? MR. HASBROUCK: It says probation one year, which 6 implies both a conviction and probation. Neither of them are 7 It was continued without a finding and dismissed. 8 was never prosecuted and there's no conviction there. 9 THE COURT: As I read it, there's nothing about 10 11 conviction. MR. HASBROUCK: There was no probation either. 12 THE COURT: And there was no -- it was just simply 13 14 dismissed? 15 MR. HASBROUCK: That's right. 16 THE COURT: Immediately? 17 MR. HASBROUCK: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Is that what your records reflect as being true? 19 PROBATION, MS. SALOMON: My records reflect we have 20 21 the investigation was done by the District Court in the 22 Northern District of Illinois. The Probation Officer from that office, Stephen Carpenter, reported that Mr. Hasbrouck 23 had the opportunity to go back into Court and have the record 24 expunged, which he did not do. So therefore in his opinion 25

1 this would be something that we would include in the prior 2 criminal record. THE COURT: Now, if there is further difficulty 3 about it I'll order a certified copy of the proceedings in 4 5 Chicago and have it attached to the probation record. MR. HASBROUCK: You are welcome to do that. I 6 don't think it really matters that much. 7 THE COURT: And you are the guide to that. 8 tell you why it is important. But I won't do anything on my 9 own as to this report. I will make sure that this report is 10 as accurate as it can be, and you can help me to do that. 11 So, going from there, what else? 12 MR. HASBROUCK: Also on Page 1, the official 13 version of the offense states in the second paragraph on that 14 page, in the middle, the last sentence in the paragraph, in 15 the letter, which it incorrectly said was dated October 31st, 16 17 it was actually October 3rd --18 THE COURT: Slow down. On October what? MR. HASBROUCK: Third. 19 20 THE COURT: What letter are you referring to? MR. HASBROUCK: The letter which was attached --21 THE COURT: The same paragraph; is that correct? 22 23 MR. HASBROUCK: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And you say that the letter was

25 dated ---

1 MR. HASBROUCK: October 3rd. 2 THE COURT: Can you help me with that? 3 MR. MUELLER: Agreed. Stipulated. THE COURT: Well, then the record can be amended to 4 5 read October the 3rd. Okay. MR. HASBROUCK: Then it says in this letter 6 *Mr. Hasbrouck stated that he was born on January 11th, 1960, 7 and therefore was required by law to register with the 8 9 Selective Service. I did not then in that letter nor have I ever said 10 that I was required by law to register with Selective 11 Service. I have said consistently that the law --12 THE COURT: Excuse me, a second. 13 Mr. Mueller, you agree? Do you know that he 14 MR. MUELLER: I have no reason to disagree with 15 16 Mr. Hasbrouck --THE COURT: Well, will this report be corrected if 17 it reads in this letter Mr. Hasbrouck stated he was born on 18 January 11th, 1960, period. Striking and ... --19 MR. HASBROUCK: Yes, that would be correct. What I 20 21 specifically said in that letter was, quote, I was supposed 22 to register -- a vast difference. THE COURT: Let me just see that the record is 23 24 corrected so that there is a period after 1960. And then

simply that he was required by law. That would be the

1	accusation or declaration of the Government as opposed to
2	your own.
3	MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.
4	THE COURT: So that's how it shall read. He was
5	required by law to register for the Selective Service.
6	Anything else?
7	MR. HASBROUCK: Then on Page 2 under the entry for
8	trespassing. It says Mr. Hasbrouck was represented by
9	Attorney Moore. I acted pro se in that trial.
10	THE COURT: Again, I can't find that. What
11	paragraph?
12	MR. HASBROUCK: Under the entry for 5/5/82.
13	THE COURT: I see now. You are now on Page 2?
14	MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. I have that.
16	And you say that you were not represented by
17	Mr. Moore?
18	MR. HASBROUCK: That's right.
19	THE COURT: Do the records indicate that Mr. Moore
20	filed an appearance?
21	PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor, but the records
22	in the Probation Department indicated that Attorney Moore did
23	represent him at some course in the proceedings, perhaps it
24	was not at the disposition itself.
25	THE COURT: That's important. Because the question

is for impeachment purposes it has to be known whether or not in fact he was represented at trial or at disposition by an attorney, so it would be not be a valid basis for impeachment.

Since we don't know, we'll take your version of it and strike that sentence.

Is that all right with you, Mr. Hasbrouck?

MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.

THE COURT: The next item?

MR. HASBROUCK: On Page 4, education. The report states: "Mr. Hasbrouck refused to provide information concerning his educational background because he felt it was irrelevant." That is not a true statement.

I had declined to provide information about my formal schooling, since I felt that was irrelevant. I offered to provide the Probation Officer with whatever information I could about how I had been educated and how I learned the kinds of opinions that caused me to act as I did in this case, the kinds of political experiences that had led to them. She was not interested in that.

It was not that I refused to provide them.

THE COURT: So it is true that you have refused to provide information about your formal education?

MR. HASBROUCK: Formal schooling.

THE COURT: So we can change the words "educational

background" to read, "concerning his formal schooling". And will be willing to provide as part of his own statement for the record. Whatever you want to do in writing, apply. You may write it, and it can be attached to this record as far as your educational background is concerned.

Is that satisfactory?

MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.

In the next paragraph under employment, the report states: "Mr. Hasbrouck advised he does political work as a defendant on a full-time basis. He would provide no further details concerning his employment history."

Again, I didn't feel how I earned my money was relevant to my employment, since most of my occupation, how I occupy myself, how I spend my time is not making money.

I offered to provide information about my occupation and how I employ myself, doing political work, to which I referred, but again the Probation Officer was not interested in that, and it wasn't that I refused to provide it.

THE COURT: You have a right to provide for the record that information that you wish. So I'll provide you with that opportunity. You can offer it in writing, and it will be attached to your presentence report.

But as to this statement, I can detect nothing incorrect about it.

MR. HASBROUCK: The statement "he would provide no

more details concerning his employment history", is false.

THE COURT: Right, I wrote that, indicating that you would provide no details about your earnings.

MR. HASBROUCK: That is correct.

THE COURT: Plus you may add to it whatever other descriptions you want to make about your employment history that may be attached as your version to the report.

Is that satisfactory?

MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.

Then on Page 5, the last paragraph. "Little is known about the defendant's background history as he refused to cooperate with the presentence investigation."

I did not refuse to cooperate. I provided answers to all the relevant questions which were asked, some of which were incorporated in the presentence report. Most of the information I provided was not. I declined only to answer those questions that had no relevance to sentencing. And I don't think that constitutes a refusal to cooperate.

THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you how that gets resolved. We can change the language to say, and in my opinion refused to cooperate with the presentence investigation.

And then you can add your opinion that would characterize your willingness to provide the kind of information asked of you.

1 Is that fair? 2 MR. HASBROUCK: Hu-hu. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Now, anything else in this 4 report? 5 MR. HASBROUCK: No. THE COURT: Now, the reason that I spend this time 6 with you and try to be quite specific is because this has a 7 very, very salient, plays a very, very important part of 8 sentencing; that I rely upon this report in great measure in 9 order to assist me in coming to my determination as to what 10 sentence to give you. I rely upon it in order to make sure 11 that in future circumstances, I or any other member of this 12 Court or any other Court that has access to it, can rely upon 13 14 it as being factual and complete. Not only does the Court rely upon it, but others who 15 have access, legal access to this report, may rely upon it 16 now and in the future, such as parole authorities, correction 17 authorities, such as probation departments, such as 18 investigatory agencies with the power to reach this 19 20 presentence report. And therefore it is absolutely essential that there 21 be nothing in the report that does not reflect at least in 22 23 substantial parts that which is true.

You understand that?

24

25

MR. HASBROUCK: Yes.

THE COURT: So, in any event, with those amendments you are satisfied that the report can be used with integrity?

MR. HASBROUCK: I don't think there's very much integrity to using a report based on information about these sorts of things as a basis for sentencing or for those other purposes.

THE COURT: Well, let me tell you that I'm using it and I'm going to use it for the purposes I expressed to you. And if there is anything wrong in that, then, of course, I want to know it. If not, I tell you now that I depend upon presentence reports and this one specifically in order to come to some judgment about what sentence.

MR. HASBROUCK: My question of is not about whether the information is true with those corrections, but simply whether it has that much value on sentencing. It doesn't tell you very much about me or about the nature --

THE COURT: You'll have another opportunity to tell me more about that, because you'll have an opportunity to speak to me about that. My mind is now focusing on this report and the use that I make of it, as well as others.

So knowing that, then, I will put that matter aside.

Now, what is the Government's recommendation, please, in this case?

MR. MUELLER: Your Honor, the Government's recommendation is that Mr. Hasbrouck be sentenced to

imprisonment of two years to be served, pursuant to 18 United 1 2 States Code, Section 4205(b)(2). That's the Government's 3 recommendation. THE COURT: How do you interpret (b)(2)? MR. MUELLER: That if Mr. Hasbrouck is sentenced 5 under that particular provision, he can be paroled at any 6 time, he does not have to serve the minimum one third; that 7 the Parole Commission can determine at any time during his 8 9 incarceration that he should be released. THE COURT: Now that doesn't mean that he's 10 11 automatically paroled --MR. MUELLER: That's my understanding. 12 THE COURT: -- earlier than the one third. 13 does that apply to the one-third rule itself. It doesn't 14 require that he be paroled within the one-third time. 15 MR. MUELLER: I think that's accurate, yes, your 16 That's my understanding of how that section operates. 17 Honor. THE COURT: All right. 18 I'm going to give you a chance to offer the reasons 19 for your recommendation. 20 But I would ask, would you like to be heard on the 21 22 question of sentencing? 23 MR. HASBROUCK: I would prefer to be heard after Mr. Mueller. I think I could best say what I have to say in 24

25

response to him then.

THE COURT: All right. And you understand that I will give him a chance at rebuttal, the chance that I would give you. Okay?

I'll hear from you.

MR. MUELLER: Your Honor, we have filed a sentencing memorandum which I believe the Court has had an opportunity to review.

THE COURT: I have it and I have read it.

MR. MUELLER: Mr. Hasbrouck has received a copy and so Mr. Hasbrouck knows both the recommendation and the reasons for the recommendation.

I would add and supplement at this time, your Honor, our memorandum with just one point. And that is the Government makes the recommendation after spending a great deal of time and taking into consideration the goals of sentencing, this particular individual, and the circumstances of this case. We did not make the recommendation lightly. We take it very seriously. We know the Court undoubtedly has gone through and spent a great deal of time also thinking about this sentence.

For the reasons set forth in the memorandum; that is, for deterrence reasons well as looking at the activity of this particular individual, Mr. Hasbrouck, and his utter disregard for the law, his placement of himself above the law, it is our belief that the interests of society and the

interests of the judicial system would be best served by sentencing Mr. Hasbrouck to two years incarceration with the opportunity for release upon determination by the Parole Commission that Mr. Hasbrouck should be released in society.

THE COURT: I'll hear from you, please.

MR. HASBROUCK: I think that the Government's recommendation, the reasons given for it, are largely specious. I'm not going to deal with them in detail.

I think it's fairly clear to Mr. Mueller, I think it's fairly clear to you, Judge Nelson, I think it's clear to most of the people in the courtroom, people who have written you letters, people who are aware of this case, that locking me up is not going to serve any purpose. It is certainly not going to deter me. In fact Mr. Mueller points in his memorandum to the fact that the time I have spent in jails and in federal prison in the past has not enhanced my respect for the law or for the people who are locking me up, and has not deterred me from doing what I believe to be right, even knowing, as I did when I refused to register, that that would likely put me in prison.

I think it's fairly clear, equally clear, that a prison sentence is not going to rehabilitate me. I think it's fairly clear in the nature of the crime itself that there is no need for retribution, for vengeance, for retaliation against peaceful refusal to take part in coercive

l violence.

The only conceivable purpose to be served by a prison sentence in this case is not for its effect on me, unless you truly believe that I am a bad person who has done bad things and who would be better off locked up, which I don't think you believe. The only purpose to be served by a prison sentence in this case would be to attempt to scare other people who haven't registered into registering. And it won't do that. And I say that not to thumb my nose at you, I say that not as a threat, but as a statement of fact of which I think you can take judicial notice, that it is not going to work.

I think you know, Judge Nelson, as well as anyone, having seen my file and having seen the evidence that was introduced, that the only reason I was prosecuted was because I had written to the Selective Service saying that I hadn't registered. And if I hadn't done that, I wouldn't have been prosecuted.

I think you know as well as anyone that the only reason I was convicted was that I chose, for the same reasons that led me not to cooperate with the Selective Service System, not to cooperate with the judicial system and not to present a defense. And that I probably would not have been convicted had I presented a defense.

And I think you are probably aware that to the extent

that other people outside this courtroom and behind the bar
have been able to see and to become aware of what really
happened in my case, they will realize those facts; that I
was only prosecuted because I wrote to the Selective Service;
that I was only convicted because I chose not to present a
defense. And they will realize from that that my prosecution

It can serve only as a deterrent to other people doing as I have done, speaking out publicly, directly to the Government, about what they have done.

is no threat and no deterrent to their refusing to register.

I think a prison sentence from you could only be predicated on the belief that a valid purpose of the Court in sentencing is to suppress that kind of expression of dissent, to keep people from talking about what they are going to be doing anyway.

I offered the example of Santiago, where, as you probably know, the first indictment was brought, where the harshest sentence to date has been given, the thirty months, to Ben...; where since Ben's indictment, trial, conviction and sentencing, the rate of registration in Santiago County has fallen substantially as people have realized through his prosecution the limitation of any real threat of prosecution to any but those who speak out publicly about their non-registration.

All of this being so, I think it completely

impossible that a prison sentence from you to me is going to induce lots of people in the Boston area to go and sign up. I think it impossible that anything you could do by way of a sentence could salvage draft registration, which has already failed, and which is going in time to have to be abandoned as a failure.

At this point a bare majority of those that were supposed to be registered with the Selective Service are fully and properly registered. The rest either haven't registered at all, have moved leaving no record of their current address with the Selective Service, or refused to give their social security numbers, making it impossible to track them down.

The overall fact is that a draft is impossible. No one prison sentence, no five prison sentences, no whatever number of cases, the Government has the very limited resources in the face of millions of non registrants to bring, can possibly have any substantial effect on the level of resistance to registration.

They can only have any effect, those sentences, the possible prison sentence from you, they can only have any effect if you can succeed through them or if someone else can succeed in misrepresenting them as sentences not for public refusal to register but as sentences simply for non-registration. And I don't think it is a desirable

purpose of the courts to deliberately mislead people who are not in fact threatened by the Courts.

A sentence, I think, a prison sentence from you could only be based on a desire to generate misleading and intimidating propaganda, to induce people to do something that they believe to be wrong.

I have, as I have told you, been doing as best I could in satisfaction of the obligation that I feel to other people, to human society, the obligation that I feel arises from my position of privilege in the world, an obligation to work in the human interests, in the interests of my community, the human community, a community threatened above all else by nuclear annihilation from the weapons, first of the United States, and second of the Soviet Union, and all others with nuclear weapons.

If you do not sentence me to prison, I give you my word that I will continue as best I can to do that work in the community interest for peace and survival, and against oppression and repression. If you do sentence me to prison, I hope that I will be able to continue to do it anyway, although I know that I will be less effective, I will be less able to deal with people, and I hope I won't lose my ability to relate to them and to love them. But I will not lose my ability to speak out. And the function of courts and prisons is not to suppress free speech.

I know that the presentence investigation and the prosecutor's sentencing memorandum tell you very little about myself. I think in large part that your sentence in this, a case brought as a test case by the Government, a case prosecuted as a show trial by the Government, a case which even the prosecutor in his press release announcing my indictment, Mr. Weld said it was intended to deter other people, that that was the purpose of the prosecution.

Your sentence will be primarily a sentence on an idea and on a movement, and on all of the other people who you have heard from who have written, who have showed themselves outside the courtroom and who are in the courtroom today.

You have gotten a lot of letters already. I would like to give you now a few other letters that came in directly to me. One with two very large pages of signatures sent from Western Massachusetts, one with about three hundred signatures of people in Vermont, published in the newspapers the other day before my trial, and another, originally a letter to Magistrate Alexander, to whom this was originally assigned and before whom I was arraigned, which I think should properly go to you now, signed by people who were in the courtroom.

It says: "Those of us who have signed below believe that the case of the United States against Edward J.

Hasbrouck is also the case of the United States against each of us and against many others who are not here today. We are Edward's friends, family and neighbors. His indictment is also an indictment of our work, of our beliefs, and of our feelings against registration, the draft, militarism and war.

"We are here with Edward to answer your charges against us. For us to be here and to make this statement is for us to support, aid and abet and declare our complicity with Edward's.

"Accordingly, as unindicted co-defendants, we request if you convict Edward J. Hasbrouck that you convict us all, that you imprison all of us or none of us."

And on behalf of the people who signed that, I want to give that to you now.

THE COURT: Give it to Mr. Mueller first.

(Shown to Government attorney.)

(Document handed up.)

MR. HASBROUCK: There are things that you think are important that you would like to know fortunately, because I think, I think relatively little has been able to come through. I'm welcome to answer whatever questions you might have that might be important to you for sentencing.

THE COURT: If I see the need, and might well be, I will proffer questions to you or seek your responses.

MR. HASBROUCK: I would like to close with a poem that a friend of mine and a fellow nonregistrant wrote in Boston a year ago.

"America is preparing for war. I said America is preparing for war. Do you understand? Stop for a moment, listen, care. Will you care?

"I said America is preparing for war, right now, as you live your life, right now, America is preparing for war. In fact America is at war. America is killing right now. Look out the window. Your money is being turned to blood on the concrete of San Salvador. America is at war. In fact America is war. America is the bomb, America is the blood, America is the death.

"If we oppose war, if we oppose death, if we oppose the bomb, if we live this opposition, we oppose the corps of corporate America. We are a threat, we are a problem, we are a blemish on the cloned face of America. We are powerful, we are strong, we are a threat because our lives defy America. America is afraid, America is trembling, America is dying. Beneath the cloned face of military strength lies the falling decay, the end of America.

When we live our lives in opposition we have nothing to fear, because we are the future we have nothing to lose.

Let us rejoice in the death of America.

I think there is a distinction between the America

which is waging war in El Salvador and Nicaragua, is preparing to wage war upon the world and upon itself through nuclear weaponry, between the America of Ronald Reagan and David Rockefeller, and between the American land, between the American people, between the ideals and what have often been only the myths of American democracy, of freedom, of free speech, of self-determination, of people deciding for themselves how to live their lives, and of Government responsible to the people. As the Declaration says, and whenever any form of government becomes obstructive of those freedoms, the right of the people to alter or abolish it to.

And I think your sentence is both a decision, whether you think I am a bad person who has done bad things, who will be better off punished, because I don't think that whatever you might desire, whatever Mr. Mueller might desire, your sentence is going to succeed in having much effect on anyone but me. It is both that and a choice between those Americas.

I have made that choice. I have acted openly and honestly on it, as best I could. I have acted as I felt right according to my conscience, and I hope that you will do likewise.

[Applause.]

THE COURT: If it comes to it, you know I will have to sentence you without support. So, in other words, if

A

it comes to it, I will just simply call you up here and sentence you and everybody that has come in your support or against you will not have the benefit of hearing it.

So it would be helpful, of course, if we can resolve this ultimately, what people think is their right, they can do. But in the meantime, I am suggesting that I'll still have so communicate my sentence to you; and I will do it the best way I possibly can.

Do you have something?

MR. MUELLER: I do have a few short points, your Honor, in response to Mr. Hasbrouck. And taking them as I pick them up in his statement to the Court.

In making our recommendation, the Government's recommendation, we don't recommend to the Court a period of incarceration for Mr. Hasbrouck because he's a bad person. Bad people don't get sentenced, people get sentenced. Even the person who is convicted of a most heinous of crimes is a person. And the recommendation is based, as the Court's ultimate judgment is, on the fact that the person standing before the bench to be sentenced is a person. Mr. Hasbrouck is a person. Our recommendation takes into account those factors.

Mr. Hasbrouck indicated that the sentence would in some way, if it is incarceration, have the effect and the Court would bear the responsibility of curbing dissent.

Clearly the prosecution, the sentence is not for the effect of curbing dissent.

Mr. Hasbrouck, perhaps more than any others in his particular situation, has had a very open forum to express his views. He has had greater access to the press than I venture to say most of the politicians in this very political of districts have had in recent months.

Far from curbing dissent, the sentence in this case should be structured and taking principally into account Mr. Hasbrouck as a person.

And I would like to address that very briefly, your Honor, in understanding or a rationale for our recommendation in this particular case. And when I say "this particular case", I mean this case, not all draft resistor cases, not all registration cases, but this case with Mr. Hasbrouck.

Mr. Hasbrouck perceives himself as a self-appointed, self-anointed guardian of a particular movement. He has treated this particular proceeding as something that he welcomed, that he ran towards, that he embraced as fast as he possibly could for the principal reason that it would give him above his peers greater access to publicity, and indeed it has.

The one thing one can say about Mr. Hasbrouck is he is principally concerned about his role in this particular

1 movement.

Now one indication of this person, Mr. Hasbrouck, becomes particular apparent when one looks at Mr. Hasbrouck's response to the presentence report that was done by the probation officer in this case. And I speak particularly to the fact that Mr. Hasbrouck, with regard to what the job of probation officer was trying to do, responded on a number of cases, what you think is relevant is not what I think is relevant. And consequently I am not going to give you that information because under my law, under my guidelines, what you think is relevant is not what I think is relevant.

And when the Court is looking at a sentence in a case like this, one that cries out perhaps for some degree of alternative or some alternative sentence that Mr. Hasbrouck could be sentenced to. And, of course a case like this, I'm sure this is a consideration in the Court mind.

One should look at the individual. In this
particular case, looking at Mr. Hasbrouck, one must see a
person who holds himself above the law, any law.

Mr. Hasbrouck believes himself to be a law unto himself. He
thinks what is relevant should be in those probation reports,
not what the probation officer, not what the court system,
not what the judicial system thinks. He thinks the courts
should be run the way he wishes it to be run. He thinks the
Government of the country should be run the way he wants it

run. Mr. Hasbrouck believes himself to be his own law.

Under those circumstances, an alternative sentence in this particular case would be unavailing, it would be of no use. I tend to believe that Mr. Hasbrouck would in no way cooperate with any restrictions whatsoever. Mr. Hasbrouck sets himself above the law; and as such, we feel that he should suffer the consequences of the law.

It is for those reasons, your Honor, taking into account principally Mr. Hasbrouck is Mr. Hasbrouck, that we recommend to the Court the two years' incarceration with the understanding that the Parole Commission would act at such time as it believes to be advisable to release Mr. Hasbrouck.

THE COURT: I hope that I can suggest to you that I thought of course a lot about this particular case. And in some ways I was forced to, as well as led to, pay some considerable attention to this particular aspect of the case.

This particular proceeding is a discipline of mine that I hold in very high regard, because I think it is the most important function of the Court. I don't know of any particular task that I have, or indeed that any judge has, that's more difficult than sentencing.

So I'm going to address you by suggesting several things that I thought about, scribbled down, and rethought about it. And now that I have listened as carefully as I could to the presentations, I'm satisfied that my thoughts

about, and having read the reports, read almost every one of the letters up to recently, and other things that have been offered to me, I think that these remarks are pertinent.

In effect, Mr. Hasbrouck, I really ought to be addressing you directly. But the Court is not unmindful of the fact that there are some larger issues which have surrounded this case.

I am certainly aware of the fact that this has been given national attention, and I cannot be unmindful of the scores and scores of letters and phone calls left unresponded to, left unreplied to, that are espousing very sensitive and strongly held beliefs regarding the larger issues, and you as a person.

These beliefs, as one could expect, ranged from anger with you, from anger to adulation, bordering on adoration of you and your avowed cause.

So when I suggest that sentencing is usually and immediately directed to you as the defendant, I feel compelled to state my position to yet even a larger audience, not to proselytize, not to gain favor, but to fulfill what I believe is a very important essential aspect of my judicial responsibility; namely, to inform without attempting to persuade a larger concerned public as to the inner thoughts of that which lay in my mind as a judge, in the exercise of my considerable discretion in passing sentence.

What the writers of those letters perhaps did not appreciate is that those issues which they offered in those letters were not placed before me, and the test of the constitutionality and the legality of the Selective Service Act or the policy enforcing it were not issues before me at all. Those issues were never put to me. No evidence was proffered in any form.

You, intentionally, eschewed that approach. You decided on another tactic which was entirely your right to do, of course. So it must be understood that I was never called upon to strike down the law, nor find from the evidence that the facts support a claim of illegal prosecution.

So with that in mind, I'm prepared to spell out the basis for my sentencing judgment in this case, as I have indeed in every case.

Almost every letter sent to me on your behalf,
Mr. Hasbrouck, speaks to issues that were, as I said, not
before me and consequently implored me to to do everything
from dismissing the case against you, to striking down the
law requiring registration, to announcing to the world the
evils of war and people being forced to kill other people.

You have explicitly declared that you are not going to respond to the presentation of the alleged prosecution because of your lack of belief in the judicial system. And

would further infer, because you did wish to symbolize and propagandize your cause by proceeding as you did, then that was intended.

Now left to me was a similar responsibility, similar to yours, a responsibility to be true to the law, to be true to my sworn duty, and my conscience and my moral beliefs. I remain guided by that.

So I must declare that it is not for the Court to act outside of the law, and a dismissal or a judicial declaration that the law is unconstitutional or unconstitutionally applied or that it was even unfairly imposed would be in my judgment to act without authority, to simply act on personal fantasies.

Whatever comparisons that may be made with the so-called California case, and other cases across the country, those comparisons attempted to be made by your supporters, those people who came behind you, are inapplicable, inasmuch as no facts are presented to prove that the law is an abuse.

Those courts that had these issues put before it were given and made to determine legally, the legality, I should say, of the statute, were done so in the usual fashion; the presentation of evidence and arguments on the law.

I note that defense counsel who stood beside you made an attempt at least to file a memorandum in support of those

possibilities, but that's not putting it squarely in front of the Court.

I would suggest that not even the nine Supreme Court Justices of the United States, even if they were composed of nine Hasbroucks, could rule on that issue if presented with what I was presented with by your approach.

Now, that is not to criticize your decision to go about it as you did; it is to critique and to explain the dilemma your supporters and advocates of the repeal of the law are in. I must go one step further and even declare to you that I do not believe as a personal matter that the selective service requirement is immoral or violates my conscience. If so, if I thought I could not fairly sit on this case giving rise to that issue, I would step aside and protest the law as a citizen.

I have to take it that most people abhor war. I suppose, therefore, that among those people, those who truly hate war there are a variety of beliefs, wide-ranging beliefs as to what method would best avoid war. By and large those beliefs will be political ideas. And to the extent that they are, no such debate should take place in this courtroom.

Violations of the law and the Constitution are appropriate here, and it would destroy the opportunity to effect a just system if we were to undertake any more than that. I have, as a private citizen, the right and

opportunities to express my political and moral beliefs elsewhere. And because of my public position I always attempt to do so.

So it has been decreed by a jury, chosen as is done in every case, that you are declared guilty. As Judge, I must affirm that verdict and proceed to sentence someone who has violated the law, and presume that that conviction was duly made.

But the application of the principles of sentencing applies to all sides. And the application of these, of that which I have spoken to in terms of looking at what is before me is applicable to both the prosecution and the defense and to the public. I shall not attempt, I shall not attempt to make a political statement by my sentence. I shall give accord to the usual principles of sentencing, this being no more or no less than the consideration of all the usual factors that enter into sentencing procedures.

The Government sets out several of those factors quite persuasively, and I must say that I have considered them very seriously, because they were given seriously, and certainly without hysteria.

But I cannot agree that the sentence suggested in the sentencing brief and now before me is at all appropriate. I cannot agree that this offense and the circumstances that surround this offense merits incarceration, unless I wish to

make a political statement. Violent crimes have produced a lesser sanction. Violations of public trust by way of bribes and extortions have been dealt with by shorter periods of incarceration given by me. Defendants motivated solely by greed and without regard to harm to others, persons with self agrandizement with purposes of their criminal activities and strategy.

I have carefully read the presentence report, and despite your difficulties with it, I have gained some insight, I believe, into you. I have watched you, as you suggest, during the course of the trial and in these proceedings here, and I have gained a certain amount of understanding about you. I have read letters and testaments to you and to your personal beliefs and the beliefs of others, and I think I have at least come to know that although you are clearly and absolutely in defiance of the law, you have acted out of a sense of conscience and concern. So your legally misguided and misdirected ways led you to this situation, and you do not ask to be excused by that. But the motivation of what other criminals have had cannot be ascribed to you. So I see a difference.

I have difficulty in understanding the deterrency effect in this instance. Clearly there are some. I believe your arrest and prosecution are a substantial part of a deterrent potential.

I believe that the sentence I shall impose will offer some deterrence. I will not impose a sentence, however, to deter you or any other person from speaking out or proselytizing or in any way violating what I conclude is encompassed by the First Amendment in addressing those issues.

should be drawn and tried out by way of a specific indictment in the nature of whether it's conspiracy or substantive violation of inducing others to violate the law, I will not attempt to deter that by sentencing on this offense which involves simply your failure to register.

I do believe, however, that sanctions have to be imposed, and that you have must bear the consequences of your act. Indeed, I almost believe that you seek that. I also believe that you must fulfill the mandate of the law or be progressively punished.

I can cite to you other legal avenues that may relieve you of the duty to yield to the specific responsibilities of leading to entry into service. But you know them and you can choose, if you wish, to pursue those lawful ways. If you don't, and the law is correct, you will have imposed upon you further sanctions.

So I intend this morning to impose upon you the following sentence and to tell you that each aspect of it is

essential.

. 15

I will sentence you to six months and suspend that sentence and place you on two years probation, upon certain special conditions. One condition is that you offer one thousand hours of public service. Something in the nature of work at a hospital, poverty center, senior citizen center, or what. However, the nature of that service, the place of that service, and the hours and other circumstances of serving out that alternative service has to be done in collusion with the Probation Department and with me specifically.

And unless I agree to the particular modes of alternative sentence service, you will not have fulfilled that responsibility. However, of course, I will be looking for that kind of activity that is in the context positive and fulfilling. And that thousand hours will be accomplished over the period of the two years probation.

I'm going to require that the Probation Department supply me with probation updates on you, each and every six months of your probation, and I'll require you to appear before me for probation review if I think that the circumstances warrant that.

And then, finally, I can tell you that the sentence is subject to, of course -- that you are, of course, subject to the law. And so any continued or other violations of the law will not only put you in jeopardy as far as this sentence

2 offenses.

3

That's the sentence.

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Hasbrouck, the Court orders that you be imprisoned for a period of six months. That sentence is suspended and you are placed on probation for a period of two years. You are also held to any special conditions as ordered as part of your probation.

is concerned but for future prosecution for those alleged

The Court also notifies you of your right to appeal, and if you are unable to pay the cost of an appeal you may apply to the Court to allow you to appeal without paying costs, and you may further request the Clerk to immediately file a notice of appeal for you if you so request.

MR. HASBROUCK: I do so request.

THE CLERK: If you are unable to afford an attorney, the Court will appoint one for you. Any appeal must be filed within ten days of this date.

I want to advise you that the THE COURT: sanctions apply as of now. But it is only by motion allowed by this Court or by the Court of Appeals that will excuse you from those sanctions.

MR. HASBROUCK: I would ask that that sentence be stayed pending the appeal, which I intend to bring, and will be bringing as soon as I can.

THE COURT: I'll make a preliminary ruling and

allow you to file a motion. But more explicitly, I'm denying that on the basis that it is, to my knowledge, and of my review of the law and what it require to offer a stay, there is no, no hope in my mind, no hope whatsoever of any modicum of appeal under the law, and therefore appeal is not well taken. And I would, if otherwise briefed and made more learned on the subject matter, would be happy to review. But on that basis you are not entitled to relief of the sanctions. You have a right to appeal to the Court of Appeals, and they have an opportunity to afford you a stay of the execution pending that appeal.

Thank you.

[Adjourned.]

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS) ss.
3	CITY OF BOSTON)
4	
5	
6	I, Muriel F. James, Official Reporter,
7	do hereby certify that at the time and place, aforesaid, I
8	reported stenographically the proceedings had in Criminal
9	Action No. CR. 82-00269-N, United States of America versus
10	Edward J. Hasbrouck, and that the foregoing transcript is a
11 ,	correct transcript of the proceedings taken therein, to the
12	best of my skill and ability.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand this
14	30th day of November, 1984.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
	Official Departure
20	Official Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	